# Student Council Vote of No Confidence (SCF5)

Student Council has the power to submit motions of censure in an elected Officer and/or Representative. A vote of no confidence requires at least four signatures, and if passed by Student Council, will remove an Officer from their position.

# INFORMATION REQUIRED

*Please ensure the following details are completed before submission*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| * **TITLE OF VOTE OF NO CONFIDENCE:- LGBTQ+ officer** * **FIRST PROPOSER**    + **NAME:**- Jonathon Vobe   + **SIGNATURE:**-   + **DATE:**- 09/02/2019 * **SECOND PROPOSER**    + **NAME:**- Fergal de Clancy   + **SIGNATURE:**-   + **DATE:**- 12/02/2019 * **THIRD PROPOSER**    + **NAME:**- Ryan Bradshaw   + **SIGNATURE:**-   + **DATE:**- 12/02/2019 * **FOURTH PROPOSER**    + **NAME:**- Samuel Arnold   + **SIGNATURE:**-   + **DATE:**- 12/02/2019 * **DATE MOTION WAS SUBMITTED TO CHAIR:**- 14/02/2019 | |
|  |  |

# VOTE OF NO CONFIDENCE DETAILS

# This Council Notes

*State the facts surrounding the issue*

During the period of being in the role the LGBTQ+ officer has performed…

* 2 out of 4 reports submitted to council (resulting in an automatic censure as per section 4.38 of the bye-laws being issued).
* Failed to report on anything performed during the academic year in the reports.
* Not attended the last 2 exec meetings without apologies sent.
* Failed to carry out “The winter gala”, (an event hosted previously by the lgbtq+ offer and was to be carried over this year, as recognized by the LGBTQ+ officer during exec meetings).
* lgbtq+ month with only one event which was announced/ planned a single film night.
* Failing to attend the last council meeting without sending apologies.
* Democratic methods, being questionable it resulted in the NUS trans conference being greatly limited in how many were able to attend compare to the amount approved by both the NUSU exec team and the NUS.

# This Council Believes

*State what you want the Officer to change*

* Since receiving a censure, no significant improvement has occurred.
* More needs to be on the report including what they have done.
* No open events have been held by either the LGBTQ+ officer or the LGBTQ+ society to which they are also the president for.
* A report on the Trans conference would be useful, as agreed to, stated in the exec meeting to acquire funds. (also would be good if the report was accurate, unlike the info sent to the exec regarding if the VNC occurred regarding the NUS president (note, mistakes happen).)

# This Council Resolves

*Explain why you think a vote of no confidence is appropriate*

* Having already received a censure, and all the points stated above, a vote of no confidence is the next most suitable step